

An Initiative to End the Incurable Era

(Analysis Submitted to U.S. Lawmakers)

By Jianqing Wu, Ph.D. and J.D.

In this analysis, I will discuss lack of cure in the world, the false incurable notion, failure of modern medicine, and the hoax of cancer/terminal disease. I propose a necessary legislative reform to end the incurable era, arrest the momenta of population health decline, and end medicare funding crisis.

A. A World Without Cure

We live in a world without cure. It is shame to live in such a world where no one can live a full enjoyable life in a predictable way. Every person lives in the routine hoax of cancer and is threatened by terminal diseases. People have to accept discomfort, painful, and even embarrassing procedures when they have their routine disease screenings performed.

Former Apple CEO, Steve Jobs, Former Chief Justice Rehnquist, and Former Senator McCain, and millions of others died from cancer; former justice Scalia died most probably from existing heart diseases. A large number of people around my family, friends and coworkers died from cancer.

Former senate majority leader, Harry Reid, former Senator Bill Nelson are battling cancer. Current Supreme Court Justice Ginsburg, current House Representatives Hostings, David Trone, Phil Roe, Mo Brooks, Mark DeSaulnier, Jamie Raskin, Jean Price, etc. are battling cancer.

In this world, most people eventually die from cancer and/or at least one incurable diseases. Among all causes of deaths, incurable diseases take people lives by the highest probability. In 2009, 7 out of 10 deaths in the U.S. are due to chronic diseases. More than 600, 000 people died from cancer in the U.S. in 2018. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), the total death count is about 55.3 million each year. Chronic diseases are the leading cause of death worldwide. Cardiovascular diseases killed over 17.8 million annually worldwide. A vast majority of deaths (my number, an estimated 30 millions) are caused by incurable diseases.

Modern medicine fails to find cures to most common diseases and have labeled all chronic diseases as “incurable” diseases.

B. False Notion of Incurable Diseases

My studies done in the last two decades led me to conclude that “incurable” is a false notion. Former Chief Justice Rehnquist, who died of cancer, probably never thought that several common law doctrines conclusively precluded true cures for cancer.

I hold powerful tools for understanding sciences and technologies. My early interest was to make some inventions that were to improve legal process. Thus, I had to find causes of problems in existing tools used in legal process (e-discovery). My research in the common law development history allowed me to find how the common law influenced the foundation of medicine.

After I have identified flaws in foundation of medicine, I looked into potential cures. My works include studying Chinese Medicine, medical miracles, and healing literature on healing art I had collected. I found that all true cures came from evolution and were built in the human genes. The first class of cure is food/nutrition/natural compounds. The second class of cure is exercises which are inherent activities of all animals. The third class of cure is healing measures of using certain intensive properties such as pressure, temperature, and mechanical vibrations, all of which are inherent in human activities. The last class of cure is mind regulation, emotional adjustment, meditation, etc. This class of cures come to being when humans have developed high intellectual capacities. Human intellectual activities compete for biological resources for maintaining health.

The human genome research has revealed that humans share most genes with animals, plants, and microorganisms. It is said that humans shared 60% of banana genes. Every natural compound is created by enzymes which are created by corresponding genes. The common genes formed in evolution guarantee that compounds existing in banana can be processed, used, or eliminated by enzymes in the human body. The humans including their early forms of species have eaten plants, herbs, and other natural products for the entire evolution period. Thus, humans have genetic facilities to process, convert, use and eliminate most natural compounds.

In contrast, synthetic drugs cannot be presumed be comparable to human genetics. They have not been exposed to humans, and the nature did not have a chance to select human gene types that can deal with them. It is estimated there are 20412 protein-encoding genes. Since enzymes often work on natural compounds by targeting functional groups, the human body has a born capacity to deal with a huge number of natural compounds. This capacity is evident by examining human food chain. Humans eat all kinds of plants, vegetables, herbs and other natural products with small chances of running into adverse reactions. Even if some individuals cannot deal with certain natural products, damages can be reversed. That is why natural compounds are relatively safe. If they are used to treat chronic diseases, they can work slowly and continuously without causing the kind of side effects we see from synthetic drugs.

While some synthetic drugs may be similar to natural compounds, they are more unpredictable. If a synthetic compound is very different from natural

compounds, its side effects are unpredictable. If they are used in high dosages, risk of damages to the body is presumed to be very high.

Exercises are the most powerful cures that were built into human genes. By briefly reviewing recent medical discoveries, I found that exercises can promote health or healing by more than 20 mechanisms! I guess that the actual number of working mechanisms is more than 100. They work on local tissues and the whole body. In fighting cancer, exercises with deep breath and stressed relaxation can reactivate the mitochondria-based energy metabolism and thus restore cancer cells inherent ability to undergo apoptosis (killing themselves). They are most powerful cures that no drugs can ever match.

Any evolution-developed healing methods can cure cancer and chronic diseases. Foods/nutrition, herbs, exercises, mind regulation and emotion management were widely used by ancient people in Han dynasty (206 BC-220 AD) and Tang dynasty (618-end). The herb formulations left in Han, as reflected in the recent book of Dr. Ke Li, underscore the great performance of ancient medicine. The official “materia medica” in the Tang dynasty discloses with illustrated drawings for 833 medicinal substances taken from different stones, minerals, metals, plants, herbs, animals, vegetables, fruits and cereal crops. A six sound exercise disclosed in the Tang dynasty is still the most powerful healing exercises modern people still use. Recent advances in basic research have shed light on the mechanisms of most ancient cures. They are all built in human genes.

By studying literature on herb formulations, exercises and other healing methods, I found that people at that time can cure any chronic diseases. However, those powerful cures have been dispelled by modern medicine.

C. How Are Incurable Diseases Created

“Incurable disease” is a false notion or excuse used in modern medicine. My personal experiences and a massive number of healing miracles can refute it completely. The U.S. patent law, FDA drug approval protocols, state medical malpractice laws, state medical practice law, state tax policies, federal tax policies, etc. all incorporate several common law doctrines to preclude true cures to chronic diseases. The price the world is paying now is about thirty million of premature deaths each year in the world. I will show how the legal system cripples medicine below.

(1) The patent law precludes anything made of nature. That rule alone excludes research and commercial activities for estimated at least tens of thousand natural anti-cancer compounds and potentially much more unknown or unidentified anti-cancer compounds that might exist in the nature. Diseases such as cancer cannot be cured simply by using a compound. A successful cure requires many application details such as daily dosages, treatment during,

application timings, and existing interactive factors. It is not something like “eating a package of blueberries a day.” That is why only a small number of cancer patients hit lotteries. Since the patent law does not protect detailed treatment protocols, it prevents research and commercial activities in developing any treatment protocols. No company will invest tens of millions of dollars for finding a treatment protocol from which it cannot profit. Thus, companies have to chose synthetic drugs over natural compounds so that they can get absolute protection.

(2) The mental step doctrine of the U.S. patent law precludes any research and commercial activities for tens of thousands of different exercises, each of which could be ABSOLUTE CURE to cancer and most chronic diseases. The flawed research findings have created an absurd public belief that “all exercises are the same” or nothing works. All studies with exercises are frivolous and cannot enable people to raise exercise's curative power from a few points to potential 100 points.

(3) FDA drug approval protocols bar any medical treatments that require the patient's active mind regulation and physical activities. The law makes a terribly wrong assumption: mind regulation cannot be part of cure. The double blinds variable controlled methodology confines medical research models to chemical reactors and animals with little emotion. Medical treatments without addressing mind cannot cure most chronic diseases.

(4) The use of statistical approach results in a massive number of flawed studies (85% noted in a recent Stanford study, but I would find 100% of the studies involving human trials are flawed). When a massive number of studies is based upon junk science, it will not lead to cures.

(5) Evidence-based medicine has played a worst role in medicine. Common law judge's use of evidence-based approach was due to necessity. But medicinal science is an incremental science with knowledge being added to its teaching daily. The logic is like “if I do not see it, it must not exist.” This approach is not proper for dealing with a large number of unknown variables in each patient. It is directly responsible for many premature deaths.

(6) The functional and structure approach is also unworkable. While it has impressed the world by claimed “scientific validity,” it cannot cure diseases. Each human health problem is indirectly controlled by a large number of genes or corresponding encoded products. Thus, a true cure to a chronic disease cannot be realized by targeting one single gene or an encoded protein, a biochemical step or a structured problem by using a single drug. It has been failure for centuries and will be failure forever. Also, all health properties (such as glucose level in blood) established by population data cannot provide useful indications for preventing diseases. Such numbers (with a range of an average \pm 50%) in a steady stage do not tell real story. Detection of any structural problem by using population data is often far too late.

I have identified at more than 20 flaws that can completely prevent every

true cure that is built in human genes.

The U.S. legal system has provided only three revenues: cutting, poisoning and burning (in a way against its working in evolution) as available cures. In treating cancer, cutting works by luck for early stage of cancer. None of the favored three can improve human defense ability against cancer. I have proved that the last two can NEVER cure cancer. A fatal flaw lies in an absurd assumption that a cure can only be a synthetic drug. That is why we see drugs, drugs, and drugs. We also see failure, failure, and failure since 1970.

All problems can be traced to several common law concepts such as the binary system (the yes or no system), categorization method, averaging data, simple system modeling, etc. Those concepts were ported into the medical foundation without even being examined, validated, or justified. Those concepts must be treated by law as presumption, and cannot be questioned. They have steered the medicine into a dead end by introducing massive systematic errors and a large number of sources of inaccuracies.

Modern medicine has become a junk science as a result of influences of obsolete and absurd common law doctrines that were developed before common law era or long before the arrival of sciences. Due to constraint of the legal system, modern medicine has to address all health problems by focusing only one or a few factors qualitatively with yes and no answer. It is inevitable to develop a short doctor-visit model (e.g., a doctor can write a chemotherapy in 3 minutes and see a patient in less than 15 minutes). Since modern medicine cannot cure chronic diseases, it had to come up with a way to protect medical professionals. That is why it created “incurable” labels for chronic diseases and thus developed medical practicing guidelines to shield professional liability.

Modern medicine dispels all cures that pass down from evolution and once were widely used by ancient people. Those measures have been used in many cultures for more than four thousand years. By holding out as the only medicine of “scientific validity” and producing fast results, it has gained wide acceptance in the world.

D. Failure of Modern Medicine

By excluding all true cures that are built in human genes, modern medicine is destined to fail. I will show that modern medicine is far worse than it appears to be.

A worst flaw in medicine is the population-based approach which was ported from common law. In treating cancer, every cancer drug, each treatment method, each drug use dose, each chemo protocol, etc. are developed on the basis of population studies, which routinely sum and average population data. The results are for an abstract person with variables controlled. In reality, no human beings can live his life with variables controlled. A question like whether

cholesterol intake is good or bad has no application utilities to any specific patient. It is useful only in exploring disease mechanisms.

Basic research has discovered thousands of things that are related to disease causes and treatments. However, when a doctor treats a specific patient, the doctor cannot determine what are particular causes and what are suitable treatments for this patient. So, the doctor just runs endless human trials on this patient: try this drug, try that procedure, try this population-based dosage, try that chemo protocol developed from a human trial, etc. All attempted treatments are based upon an abstract person. Only a small number of patients can match the abstract person. That is why nearly all treatments must fail.

The modern medicine is developed with two fatal flaws: attempt to control variables therefore such findings cannot be applied to any human being in the real world. Second, findings from population studies are applied to individual patients WITHOUT considering whether any of the conditions used in population studies are met. Naturally, all attempts must fail as a matter of course. This is a theoretical basis why modern medicine must fail in treating chronic diseases.

Despite indisputable flaws, modern medicine holds itself out as a medical “science.” It often claims it uses a scientific approach rather than experiences. I found that it is far worse than an experience-based medicine because this application step implicates a systematic failure: it totally disregards all conditions used in population studies. The chance of getting a match between a treatment and a person disease is nearly non-existent.

Chinese Medicine is entirely based upon experiences. Its theories were developed based upon experiences and application of theories are also based upon experiences. When this medicine was developed, even oxygen and water molecules were unknown. Because ancient people could not understand cell structure and biological functions, it uses only factors that were used in evolution. It actually hit a right path for finding cures. Any flaws would be inaccuracies in original theories. It does not have a systematic problem like mismatching a population based treatment with a specific person. It does not have fatal flaws by operation of model. Thus, ancient doctors can cure diseases by chances anywhere from 10% to 80%.

In comparison, modern medicine officially labels all chronic diseases with “incurable” but “treatable” tags. So, controlling symptoms is all it can do with huge risks to patients. Scanning any medical texts, you will see that most disease mechanisms are “unknown” or “poorly understood.” Looking at any pharmacopoeia, you will see that most drug mechanisms are “unknown” or “poorly understood.” Yet, the modern medicine claims it is only medicine of “scientific validity.” The truth is it does massive human trails in the treatment setting.

To see obvious problems in the modern medicine, I will use an auto repairs as an analog. Auto repairmen never use averaged performance data from other car makes and models in repairing a specific car. If a population-based approach

like the one used in medicine is used to repair cars in all auto shops, no car would run. Every person with ordinary intelligence should see it. Essentially, an auto mechanic used system optimization methodology. If a system optimization methodology is used to treat chronic diseases, all diseases can be cured. By using a right approach to treating cancer, a doctor must look at cancer proliferation speed, total cancer cell number, and multiple factors' impacts. Treatment must be formulated for individual patients but not a population.

I can refute all incurable notions. Modern medicine holds that high blood pressure cannot be cured, but truth is that it takes only 6 months or one year to cure. It holds that most vascular diseases cannot be cured, but truth is that a one-year exercise program can cure it. It labels autoimmune diseases, degenerative diseases, cirrhosis, and lung fibrosis as incurable. Both medical miracles and recent advances predict they are curable. People have stood up from wheelchairs against medical prognoses and have defeated medical death predictions all the time. Recent stem cells studies imply that even scars in the brain are not without cure. A cure to damaged brain, damaged liver, abnormal immune system must exist, but will not lie in synthetic drugs. The cure must work with a massive number of genes in the human body.

Any of a massive herb formulations can cure terminal diseases; any of known thousands of exercises (e.g., those disclosed by Litang Ma, Guolin, and Guizhen Li) can cure cancer or other chronic diseases. Some times, a cure can be very simple things like deep breath, special way of consuming foods, dietary disciplines, changes in lifestyle and a change in breath habit. Their healing power is reflected in a great number of cancer survivors of advanced stage of cancer. Modern medicine cannot cure diseases because it can only focus on three things that cannot be real cures. The failure of modern medicine is well reflected in its poor performance records of more than 100 years. It is an irrefutable proof. In *We Live Too Short and Die Too Long*, Dr. Walter M. Bortz II, M.D. correctly used the term of "failure of medicine."

Since the war against cancer in 1970, each "promised" cure turns out to be failure. No synthetic drugs can be dealt with by human genes. Cutting and radiating cannot cure cancer and chronic diseases for obvious reasons. Poisoning by synthetic drugs can only create a battery of terminal diseases such as kidney failure, liver failure, central nerve system diseases, and autoimmune diseases. It is estimated that 80% of autoimmune diseases are caused by synthetic drugs. Even if a person recovers from a chronic disease or cancer, the real cure cannot be an administered synthetic drug. There is no theoretic basis for cure. Many drugs actually make diseases to be closer to the incurable.

My findings are beyond challenge. I contacted experts, doctors, professors, etc. concerning the flaws in the foundation of medicine, but none has been willing to answer my challenges. All I got is silence, lack of time, or avoidance. That is why a foundation error like geocentrism (the theory that the Sun orbits around the Earth) could dominate the world for more than a thousand years. That is why medicine cannot get out of the dead end by itself. Such a medical

system deems to inflict maximum misery on every person in the world in our time. Legal reform is the only revenue to end the incurable era. Changes must be made by Congress, The U.S. Supreme Court, and Federal Agencies. Reforms started in the U.S. will cause United Kingdom, German and other common law nations to start their own medical reforms.

The framework of modern medicine is like geocentrism, which ran the world for 1500 years. If no body wants to do anything, it is going to run the world for a thousand years. The costs are tens of millions of premature deaths each year. Of course, everyone is paying a price and cannot live his enjoyable life in a predictable way.

E. The Hoax of Cancer

My life was surrounded by cancer victims. Cancer is one of the subjects I have studied deeply. My wife and I found that three terms: SPEED, NUMBER, and multiple FACTORS are the controlling points for fighting cancer. A large number of factors work together to control cell proliferation. It has to be viewed and treated as a dynamic problem where two kinds of cells compete and cancer cell number depends upon two speeds: the proliferation speed and the cancer cell destruction speed. Fighting cancer is like controlling weeds from invading a crop. A large number of methods can be used to control the weeds before they engulf the crop. Spreading herbicide to kill both weeds and the crop will not work. The medical community developed a flawed cancer treatment model below:

(1) It assumes that only cure is cutting, burning and poisoning. The patent law, FDA regulations, state professional law, and federal tax policies exclude any cures that were developed in evolution and built in human genes.

(2) It then selects a standard of care. Cutting is limited to early stage tumors, and burning is useful only in limited cases. So, poisoning by synthetic drugs becomes a standard of care.

(3) After all true cures are excluded, it finds that poisoning cannot cure cancer. So, it labels cancer as “incurable and terminal.”

(4) By seeking fast remedies, it came up with protocols with massive doses for killing dividing cells with long treatment breaks.

(5) To establish treatment benefits, it came up with a control group which does not receive a drug treatment. The people in the control group are basically waiting for dying. It then assesses the drug's “benefits” by comparing the drug-treated group with the control group. Naturally, it finds positive benefits for the drug.

(6) When a new drug comes out, it will compare it with the old drug to determine treatment benefits. In doing so, the cancer treatment model will be

compounded with more errors.

I found that the cancer treatment model is wrong for all following reasons. First, it excludes true cures that come from evolution and are comparable with human genes. Second, labeling it as incurable is improper. Third, the massive doses are wrong because it can badly destroy organs and normal cells. Most drugs can stay in the body for only one to three days, but each inter-treatment break can give cancer cells opportunities to finish 5 to 30 cell division cycles. It is clearly unworkable. When the body's immune system is crippled, remaining cancer cells can divide faster. When drugs can no longer control cancer proliferation, the fight is over! Most deaths happen like this.

The legal system excludes evolution-developed cures such as special diets, exercises, mind regulation, lifestyle changes, and changes in physical parameters. Early lawmakers might have assumed that non-drug measures cannot cure diseases. I found that all chronic diseases including cancer are caused by doing little things like extra bites, doing bad acts, lack of physical activities, exposures to toxins, etc. over a long period of time. Reversing the disease would be achieved by doing opposite things in a similar time window. They work through genes and encoded products.

Due to impacts of obsolete law, the medical community is unable to develop cures that have been built in human genes, and population studies cannot enable patients to cure diseases. All studies with natural compounds will answer the question whether a compound can inhibit cancer cells. "Eating more blueberries" will not enable one to cure cancer. Studies involving exercises often focus on a general effects. Their findings are as meaningless as "adding more fuel" in fixing a car problem. Such teaching cannot be used to fix a broken car. A broken car must be fixed by achieving balance between fuel flow, coolant flow, lubricant sufficiency, etc. Any cure must be defined by sufficient details in amount, total duration, intervals, use timings in light of personal condition and other interactive factors. Without good guidance of applicable studies, cancer patients cure their cancer only by strike of luck. In the end, those, who have a willpower to do endless trials, will live, and those, who do not, will die.

Successful stories from using multiple factors can be found in every corner of the world, the medical researchers must ignore them because those cures do not belong to legalized three options. In such a bizarre medical environment, cancer miracles happen only when patients were rejected by hospitals, quit drug treatments, refused to die, or defied medical prognosis. So, the assumption of incurable cancer is fake because it is determined by focusing on poisons with true cures excluded. When this incurable notion is refuted, all claimed benefits of chemotherapy vanish. What are left are destructed organs, damaged central nerve systems, and compromised immune systems.

Due to precluding effects of the modern medicine, I found that most cancer patients now can use only a tiny bit of nature-born fighting power. By confining its mindset to single synthetic drug or drug combination, modern medicine does not promote multiple factors approach. However, true cures come

from evolution must work with a large number of genes and their encoded proteins (even though it is non-obvious, it must be true). Foods/herbs/natural compounds can cure cancer by interacting with a sets of genes and encoded proteins, exercises can cure cancer by interacting with sets of genes (easily in the order of hundreds), mind regulation fight cancer by interacting with another set of genes and encoded proteins. Oxygen, temperature, mechanical motions all can inhibit cancer by interacting with respective sets of genes. Thus, all exercises, mind regulation, and natural remedies disclosed in Han or Tang dynasties would have cumulative effects. A multiple factors cancer fighting program could work though thousands of genes. They work by affecting many biochemical paths such as Krebs path, the apoptosis paths, hormone paths, neural- and nerve- activities, immune system response paths, etc. There is no conceivable possibility that a synthetic drug can take advantages of the massive number of genes and gene-products in achieving cure.

Modern medicine has made great effects to ruin the population's health care wisdom for decades, and planted in people's mind with a false brief that chronic diseases are incurable or terminal, and all alternative cures other than cutting, burning and poisoning are junk medicines. The decades of propaganda in a worldwide scale has successfully created a false incurable notion and has dispelled all true cures that had been used for more than four thousand years. Due to ruining of population's mind, most people do not know that they are using only a few (1 to 3) points out of potential 100 points fighting power in fighting diseases.

Due to legal exclusion of true cures, doctors have to use cutting, burning and poisoning to every cancer patient. So, its merit is established by focusing on those useless treatments. Such a science is not a real science. It is incapable of curing cancer in a predictable way. Due to the overwhelming flaws, fatal treatment mistake actually take most people lives. Doctors and cancer patients keep making fatal mistakes in every decision every day until either the cancer itself or drugs take patient lives. Yet, they even do not know what is wrong.

The true impact of excluding true cares is far more serious than one could see. If we include true cures as options for curing cancer, and then raise their curative power to from 1 or a few points to say 60 or even 80 points, the current chemotherapy are bad to many types of patients. For those who believe in incurable and lack ability to fight, chemotherapy may extend their lives by several months; for those who cannot tolerate pains, trades between pain-free livings and shortened survival times may be good; for those who do not believe in incurable and have some ability to fight, chemotherapy actually shortens their lifespans; for those who refuse to believe in incurable and have a great capacity to fight, chemotherapy will cut their lives short or permanently preclude full recovery. Whether a trade is good totally depends upon if the incurable notion is true and whether there are cures beyond the standard care of poisoning.

The above simple reasons show the population-based treatment model is very wrong. The merit of any treatment depends upon how researchers select

patients for the control group. When a treatment model is established by using non-fighting patients, the treatment model has the effect of averaging the benefits among different groups of patients. Such an incurable notion is a hoax, all claimed benefits of chemotherapy is not real. What are always true about synthetic drugs are destruction of organs, damages to central nerve systems, and compromised immune systems. Inherently, a synthetic drug is deadly than cancer cells because cancer cells can be eradicated without leaving traces. Synthetic cancer drugs should be rejected like universal elixir, which did not extend lifespan but poisoned people for a long and long period of time.

The failure of the cancer treatment model is obvious. A vast number of chemotherapy agents do not extend total survival time. Modern medicine uses several terms such as progression-free survival time and effective rate, which are established by considering only useless treatments but excluding true cures. It further uses as control groups patients that lack incentive/knowledge to fight. Even after those two BIG manipulations, the five year survival rate for many advanced stage cancer is only a few percent points higher than non-treated group. The claimed benefits do not exist against people who can fight. Such a drug treatment can cause irreparable damages and forever prevent patients from gaining full and complete recovery.

The failure of the cancer treatment model is well reflected in several surveys. It is well known that most doctors would not accept radiotherapy for themselves. A multiple U.S. surveys also show that 75 percent of doctors would refuse chemotherapy, but doctors would recommend chemotherapy to 75% of their patients. So, the evidence shows that most doctors know that poisoning by synthetic drugs have no real benefits, but are obligated to apply such treatments onto their patients. When the legal system has chosen synthetic drugs as the standard of care, doctors cannot make choices against such a standard.

The root problem can be traced to the influences of common law on medicine. The legal system directly distorted research models, drug discovery model, drug approve model, drug application model, and patient treatment model. The legal system prevents medical professionals from treating cancer cell proliferation as a dynamic problem. Doctors cannot bother to study cancer miracles. They have to dismiss them by citing lack reliable evidence (which is also a common law thinking). Thus, those common law concepts are directly responsible for creating the incurable era and the cancer hoax. Due to dominance of the modern medicine in the world, the medicine is responsible for nearly 30 millions of premature deaths in the world each year.

The palliative care is the worst treatment practice. It is based upon the false notion of "incurable" notion. The logic of forming this care is as follows: the medical establishment excludes all cures that are built in human genes, and selects only synthetic drugs as available options; it uses cancer non-fighting patients as a control group to establish death predictions. It then develops confusing parameters such as progression free survival means, and reduced suffering to boast drugs benefits. It then send a key message: "you will die any

way, and we can make you comfortable in dying.” Of course, most patients have to accept it.

With expected death dates having being set, many patients cannot make informed decisions. Ordinary people cannot think clearly like doctors with cancer. Some patients may die sooner due to emotional distress. The disturbed sleep caused by emotional distress can shorten their lives. Patient death data will come out in consistent with prognostic predictions. Thus, the palliative care can be justified because this hoax has made cancer patients die sooner, and thus make prognostic predictions come true. If patients knew existent cures in human genes and the current cancer treatments have been developed without including the true cures built in their genes, they would not accept such treatments.

I personally heard several strange stories. A cancer patient of very advanced cancer survived for decades after he was told that all cancer tumors had been removed, whereas, the doctor could not touch any of the widely spread tumors. In contrast, a person misdiagnosed with cancer dies within a few days. In another case, a person diagnosed with liver cancer dies in about one month. Those stories demonstrate the power of the cancer hoax. Imminent death was caused by emotional shock. The cancer progression is accelerated by emotional distress. To solve this puzzle, I have found the mechanism: such patients could not get minimum sleep, and thus cripple's the body's ability to do routine cell maintenance (e.g., cripple cancer cells mitochonria-based apoptosis for cancer cells). Thus, cancer cells will proliferate at explosive speeds. “Incurable” is finally realized by emotional distress of the cancer hoax.

To end the nightmare of cancer and chronic diseases, people must examine every thing in cancer treatment. If modern medicine can enable cancer patients to use all true cures to fight cancer, 9 of 10 of cancer deaths could be prevented. Even if cancer cells in the body are not a big deal, patients can live for tens of years.

F. Legislative and Judicial Reform

Fixes to the medicine framework will fix the key federal medicare problem in the U.S. and many other nations. Medicare funding shortages cannot be relieved until the medicine can cure diseases.

My effort to end the incurable era is naturally met with insurmountable obstacles. Each year, there are a large number of law graduates, medical graduates, and science graduates. Most of them have enough intelligence to see all problems I have identified. However, all of them confine their thinking within accepted legal and medical frameworks. The media pay attention to only mainstream stories. That is why the Sun will “forever” keep orbiting around the Earth.

My effort to end the incurable era is like teaching sun-centered theory in

the geocentric time. When people refuse to think and refuse to correct massive foundation errors in medicine, everyone is paying for prices. So, we live in shocks, pains, suffering and humiliation. No one can tell what will happen to her or his life journey.

That is why I have to submit this long initiative and urge you to conduct a speed[y] study, amend laws to provide incentives to patent and research activities for all true cures that come in evolution. I specifically urge you to change the following doctrines and laws:

(1) Abolish all judiciary doctrines that bar patent for natural phenomenon, mental step, human activities, inherent acts, etc. Additional protection should be provided to protect inventive treatment protocols of using exercises, mind regulation, natural compounds (e.g., foods, herbs and other natural products) to treat and cure diseases.

(2) Change Federal, Drug and Cosmetic Act and FDA regulations to expand medical treatments to recognize all cures found in the nature. The law should use a lower approval standard for approving natural cures but provide less incentive for using synthetic drugs. It should disfavor double blinds variable controlled drug approval protocols, use of statistical methods, functional approach, evidence-based medicine, etc.

(3) Change tax law to recognize medical expenses from using any of true cures as medical expenses. Tax law should not make a preference treatment to cutting, burning and poisoning, but provide strongest incentives to the effort of developing true cures.

(4) Change federal funding policies to encourage complete protocols for treating, and curing chronic diseases. The funding policies should bar discriminatory peer review practice by using experts only within the field while refusing to recognize medicine which can deliver real cures.

(5) Compel or encourage states to make similar speedy reform. The legal system should not determine merit of medical treatments and not force doctors to use useless chemotherapy protocols over others.

(6) Fix the population mindset problem. A deeply planted incurable notion and societal bias against true cures are the biggest culprits for causing rapidly declined population health in the U.S. and the world. Federal law should be amended to discourage corporate acts intended to poison population's mind.

(7) Make changes to statutes, court holdings, federal agency policies that have played their roles in creating this incurable mindset and the non-performing medicine. Due to extended coverage, I will identify them in my web site www.igoosa.com (under the same title).

Upon making those changes, the incurable term will be disappear from medical language in five years, and cures to most chronic diseases will be available in ten years. You should act now so that you will not regret when you need cure.